Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION arXiv:0908.1402

arXiv:0908.1402v2 [hep-th] 12 Oct 2009

Asymptotic symmetries of Schrödinger spacetimes

Geoffrey Compère[♭], **Sophie de Buyl**[♭], **Stéphane Detournay**[♮], **and Kentaroh Yoshida**[♮,†]

[♭] *Department of Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA*

[♮] *Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, CA 93106, USA*

[†] *Department of Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

E-mail: gcompere@physics.ucsb.edu, sdebuyl@physics.ucsb.edu, detourn@kitp.ucsb.edu, kyoshida@gauge.scphys.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Abstract: We discuss the asymptotic symmetry algebra of the Schrödinger -invariant metrics in $d+3$ dimensions and its realization on finite temperature solutions of gravity coupled to matter fields. These solutions have been proposed as gravity backgrounds dual to non-relativistic CFTs with critical exponent z in d space dimensions. It is known that the Schrödinger algebra possesses an infinite-dimensional extension, the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra. However, we show that the asymptotic symmetry algebra of Schrödinger spacetimes is only isomorphic to the exact symmetry group of the background. It is possible to construct from first principles finite and integrable charges that infinite-dimensionally extend the Schrödinger algebra but these charges are not correctly represented via a Dirac bracket. We briefly comment on the extension of our analysis to spacetimes with Lifshitz symmetry.

Keywords: Gauge-gravity correspondence, Non-relativistic CFT, asymptotic symmetries.

Contents

1. Introduction **1**

2. Asymptotic analysis of gravity duals to NRCFTs **5**

2.1 Candidate asymptotic Killing vectors 6

2.2 Realization of the asymptotic symmetries on a phase space for $z = 2$ 8

2.2.1 Black branes for the critical exponent $z = 2$ 8

2.2.2 Restricted phase space for $z = 2$ 12

2.3 Realization of the asymptotic symmetries on a phase space for $z > 2$: an example 14

2.3.1 Black holes with $z = 3$ in $d = 2$ 15

2.3.2 Restricted phase space for $z = 3$, $d = 2$ 17

3. Conclusion and discussion **17**

A. Method to compute conserved charges **20**

A.1 General definitions illustrated on an example 20

A.2 Charge form for a more general Lagrangian 23

B. Candidate asymptotic symmetries for Lifshitz spacetimes **24**

1. Introduction

The holographic description [1–3] of condensed matter systems such as superconductors [4, 5] and materials undergoing the quantum Hall effect [6–8] have recently attracted a lot of interest. The systems are strongly coupled at critical points and hence the holographic description may give a new analytical method to investigate some aspects of the critical behaviors in terms of classical gravity.

Some condensed matter systems realized in laboratories are described at their critical points by non-relativistic conformal field theories (NRCFTs). Non-relativistic conformal symmetry contains the scaling invariance

$$t \to \lambda^z t\,, \qquad x^i \to \lambda x^i\,,$$

where z is a dynamical exponent. When $z = 2$, the symmetry is enhanced to the Schrödinger symmetry [9, 10] containing in addition the special conformal transformations. The NRCFTs based on the Schrödinger symmetry are studied e.g. in [11–16].

Recently, gravity duals for these NRCFTs have been proposed [17, 18] (see [19] for earlier work on the geometric realization of the Schrödinger symmetry and [20] for the relationship with [17, 18]). The background at zero temperature consists in a light-like deformation of the anti-de Sitter metric – for other gravity solutions and their string theory embedding, see [16, 21–35]. The background metric is given by

$$ds^2 = L^2 \left(\frac{dx^i dx^i + 2dx^+ dx^-}{r^2} + \frac{dr^2}{r^2} \mp \frac{(dx^-)^2}{r^{2z}} \right) , \tag{1.1}$$

where the x^+ direction is compactified as $x^+ \sim x^+ + 2\pi x_0^+$ for some x_0^+. Both the deformation term and the compactification break the relativistic conformal symmetry to the Schrödinger symmetry $\mathfrak{sch}_z(d)$ where d is the number of space dimensions of the NRCFT. The isometries of this metric are identified with the time translations H, dilations D, mass/particle number N, spatial translations P_i, Galilean boosts K_i, and spatial rotations M_{ij}, with $i, j = 1, ..., d$. For $z = 2$, an additional generator is present, corresponding to special conformal transformations C, which together with H and D form an $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ subalgebra. Note that in the NRCFT context the minus sign should be taken in (1.1) so that the causality properties of a non-relativistic system will be recovered close to the boundary, see e.g. [36, 37] and [38]. The plus sign turns out to be relevant to describe black holes in three dimensions (i.e. $d = 0$ above) [39].

The infinite-dimensional extension of the $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ symmetry algebra to two copies of the Virasoro algebras around AdS_3 in Einstein gravity [40] leads to severe constraints on the quantum theories dual to asymptotically AdS_3 spacetimes [41, 42]. Now, it has been known for a while that an infinite-dimensional extension is possible for the Schrödinger algebra with $z = 2$ *in any dimension* (note this is also true for the isometry group $so(2, d-1)$ of AdS_d, given by the affine extension $\widehat{so(2, d-1)}$; the latter is however not realized as asymptotic symmetry algebra of AdS_d [43]) . It is called the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra [11]. Moreover, such an algebra can be easily generalized to extend the $\mathfrak{sch}_z(d)$ symmetry for any z. The $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ part of the Schrödinger algebra has the familiar Virasoro extension while the other generators get enhanced to current algebras. As we will show below and as was shown independently in [44], one can represent the entire Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra as generators acting as diffeomorphisms on the solution (1.1).

All these generators are thus *candidates* to be asymptotic symmetries of an ought-to-be defined phase space. One would like however to go beyond a kinetic analysis and learn if part of these symmetries can be dynamically realized around the gravity backgrounds (1.1) by attempting to construct a phase space accommodating these asymptotic symmetries. This is the main aim of the paper.

More precisely, we will address the following issues

- Could the charges associated with the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra be defined ?

  One first observation one can make from the outset from purely algebraic considerations is the following. The author of [45] classified all possible central extensions for the Schrodinger-Virasoro algebra, showing that only the Virasoro could be centrally extended. This means in particular that the current algebra with generators N_m whose zero mode is the number operator (see (2.5)) has level $k = 0$. But it is known that the only unitary representation of such an algebra is the trivial one, for which $N_m = 0$, $\forall m$. Hence, the corresponding gravity dual would only be able to describe field theories with zero number operator, which would be of little interest![1] However, non-unitary representations are not excluded on general grounds, since little is known on the nature of the field theory dual. On the other hand, infinite-dimensional extensions are possible even if the current algebra is not realized.

- Could the charges represent the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra ?

Since the Schrödinger spacetimes are not asymptotically AdS, the holographic renormalization techniques based on Fefferman-Graham expansions used extensively in the AdS/CFT correspondence to compute the charges [46, 47] are not directly applicable but can be used as a guideline for extrapolating the charges, see e.g. the discussion in Appendix C of [48]. While conserved charges for black holes can be defined using the regulated on-shell action for a phase space with fixed temperature and chemical potential [23], a Hamiltonian [49, 50] or Lagrangian [51–53] definition of conserved charges is necessary in order to obtain a representation of the Schrödinger symmetries via a Dirac bracket. The conserved charges obtained via holographic and Hamiltonian/Lagrangian methods are identical up to background shifts at least for AdS spacetimes, see e.g. [54,55]. Note also that a holographic stress-tensor for Schrödinger space-times has recently been defined [56].

[1] We thank P. Hořava and Ch. Melby-Thompson for sharing that observation with us.

The Lagrangian methods [51–53] can be used straightforwardly, see Appendix A for a short summary. Note however that in general the asymptotic charges of [51–53] could be corrected due to counterterms in the regulated action [57], see [58, 59] for related discussions. Counterterms can be obtained for a phase space of fluctuations around a fixed Schrödinger black brane [23] and since they do not contain derivatives of the fields, they do not contribute to the charges. The computation of charges of [51–53] however requires a phase space containing also the zero temperature background and counterterms for such general variations are unfortunately very difficult to obtain due to non-linearities at infinity (see however [56] for progress on this issue). We will assume in what follows that the supplementary counterterms, if any, to those of [23] do not contribute to the definition of covariant phase space charges.

One difficulty than one faces right away is that the charges should be defined as an integral over x^+ and the infinitely extended spatial directions x^i. A regulator along the spatial directions is therefore needed in order to define finite charges. The approach taken in this paper is to consider that the x^i have a finite extent, i.e. that the NRCFT is defined in a "box". We will see that the introduction of this regulator is sufficient to be able to define the charges associated to the Schrödinger-Virasoro group.

It has been proven some time ago that once canonical charges associated with the asymptotic symmetries are defined and once a phase space preserved by the symmetries is shown to exist, the charges represent the algebra of asymptotic symmetries through a Dirac bracket [50], see also [53] for the analogous theorem in Lagrangian formalism. Here, however, one cannot use blindly these theorems since the regulator may also be transformed under the asymptotic symmetries. Only a subset of the proposed Schrödinger-Virasoro generators will preserve the regulator and will thus be represented under the usual bracket. We will propose a modified bracket which includes a change of regulator in order to treat the other candidate symmetries. The status of these transformations will be commented in the conclusions.

Spacetimes admiting the Lifshitz symmetry have also been considered recently in the holographic context, see [60]. We will also discuss briefly in Appendix B the extension of our analysis to those spacetimes.

The organization of this paper is as follows. The asymptotic analysis is presented in section 2. The method used is illustrated in some detail. We will mainly discuss the dynamical exponent $z = 2$ in all dimensions and extend the analysis to an example with dynamical exponent bigger than 2, namely $z = 3$ (for $d = 2$). We finally conclude and

interpret our results in section 3. A short review of the method to compute (asymptotic) charges in the covariant formalism is given in Appendix A, while asymptotic symmetries of Lifshitz spacetimes are discussed in Appendix B.

2. Asymptotic analysis of gravity duals to NRCFTs

This section is devoted to a detailed analysis of the asymptotic symmetries of the Schrödinger metrics for $d > 0$ and their realization via an algebra of asymptotic charges on some class of metrics that asymptotes to it. The backgrounds (1.1) possess d space directions and are part of the ten or eleven dimensional metrics conjectured to be dual to d dimensional non-relativistic systems.

The successive steps to define an asymptotic algebra of charges that we will implement in the sequel are the following:

1. We will start by defining a class $\mathcal{C}$ of candidate asymptotic Killing vectors of the metrics (1.1) by solving the Killing equations up to a well chosen order in the r expansion led by intuition. Solving the Killing equations to all orders in r would result in finding only the exact Killing vectors, while solving only at the leading order would lead to a very large set of candidate asymptotic symmetries[2].

2. We will then construct a phase space $\mathcal{F}$ together with a class of asymptotic symmetries $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{C}$ satisfying the following conditions :

   (a) The metrics in $\mathcal{F}$ should approach (1.1) in the limit $r \to 0$.

   (b) The phase space $\mathcal{F}$ must contain solutions of interest such as black hole solutions.

   (c) $\mathcal{F}$ must be invariant under the action of the finite diffeomorphisms associated with asymptotic Killing symmetries belonging to $\mathcal{A}$.

   (d) The asymptotic charges of the metrics in $\mathcal{F}$ associated with elements of $\mathcal{A}$ must be finite, conserved, and integrable. The asymptotic charges are computed by using the methods of [51–53] which are briefly reviewed in Appendix A.

   The phase space is usually specified by a set of boundary conditions. Here instead, we will give an explicit construction of $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ starting from the candidate asymptotic

[2] See [61], page 142 and the appendix of [62] for a detailed explanation on how to solve the Killing equations at first order in the radial expansion. The resolution at a given subleading order is then straightforward.

Killing vectors. Only a subset of the candidate asymptotic Killing vectors $\mathcal{C}$ will be promoted to asymptotic symmetries $\mathcal{A}$ of $\mathcal{F}$.

3. In the phase space $\mathcal{F}$, we will then study the algebra of asymptotic charges which should be isomorphic to the algebra of asymptotic symmetries up to possible central extensions.

We start by deriving candidate asymptotic Killing vectors by solving the asymptotic Killing equations in section 2.1. We then turn to the realization of the candidate asymptotic symmetries on a phase space. Black hole solutions that asymptote the metrics (1.1) are not known for general dimensions and critical exponents z. Therefore, we will first focus in section 2.2 on the exponent $z = 2$ for which d-dimensional black hole solutions [26], generalizing the ones of [23–25], are known. In section 2.3, we treat the exponent $z = 3$ in five dimensions $D = 5$ ($d = 2$) using solutions obtained by acting with the Null Melvin Twist on non-extremal D3-brane solutions [63] as an example of critical exponent greater than 2.

2.1 Candidate asymptotic Killing vectors

For $z > 1$, the term $\frac{1}{r^{2z}}(dx^-)^2$ is the leading divergent term close to the boundary. This asymptotic behavior differs from asymptotically flat or anti-de Sitter spacetimes. When solving the Killing equations

$$\mathcal{L}_{\xi_{as}} g_{\mu\nu} \to 0 \qquad \text{for } r \to 0, \tag{2.1}$$

up to certain well chosen orders (depending on each $\mu\nu$ component), we obtain the following vector fields,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{as} = & \frac{r}{z}L'(x^-)\partial_r + L(x^-)\partial_- \\ & + \left(N(x^-) - \frac{z-2}{z}\, x^+ L'(x^-) - \vec{x}\cdot\vec{X}'(x^-) - \frac{\vec{x}^2 + r^2}{2z}L''(x^-)\right)\partial_+ \\ & + \left(X_i(x^-) + \frac{x_i}{z}L'(x^-) + M_{ij}x_j\right)\partial_i\,,\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where M_{ij} is antisymmetric. The exact Killing vectors are recovered when $L''(x^-) = 0$, $N'(x^-) = 0$ and $X_i''(x^-) = 0$. A detailed analysis implies that the rotations cannot be extended to x^--dependent functions.

Defining the generators

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{L}_n &= \xi(L(x^-) = -2^{-n/2}(x^-)^{n+1}) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
\hat{N}_n &= \xi(N(x^-) = 2^{-n/2}(x^-)^n) \qquad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\
\hat{X}^i_n &= \xi(X^i(x^-) = -2^{-n/2}(x^-)^{n+\frac{1}{2}}) \qquad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

one gets the algebra

$$\begin{aligned}
[\hat{L}_m, \hat{L}_n] &= (m-n)\hat{L}_{m+n}, \\
[\hat{L}_m, \hat{N}_n] &= (-\frac{z-2}{z}(m+1) - n)\hat{N}_{m+n}, \\
[\hat{L}_m, \hat{X}^i_n] &= (\frac{m}{z} - n + \frac{2-z}{2z})\hat{X}^i_{m+n}, \\
[\hat{X}^i_m, \hat{X}^j_n] &= (m-n)\hat{N}_{m+n}\delta^{ij}, \\
[M_{ij}, \hat{X}^k_n] &= -\delta^{ik}\hat{X}^j_n + \delta^{jk}\hat{X}^i_n, \\
[\hat{N}_m, \hat{N}_n] &= 0, \qquad [\hat{N}_m, \hat{X}^i_n] = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

which generalizes to arbitrary z the Schrödinger-Virasoro algebra studied in [11, 45] for $z = 2$ and the one proposed in [44].

When $z \neq 2$, the exact Killing vectors are given by M_{ij},

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{L}_0 = (-\frac{r}{z}, -x^-, \frac{z-2}{z}x^+, -x_1/z, ..., -x_d/z) \qquad & \text{dilatation}, \\
\hat{L}_{-1} = (0, -\sqrt{2}, 0, 0, ..., 0) \qquad & x^- \text{ translation}, \\
N_0 = (0, 0, 1, 0, ..., 0) \qquad & x^+ \text{ translation}, \\
\hat{X}^i_{1/2} = (0, 0, 2^{-1/4}x^i, 0, .., -2^{-1/4}x^-, .., 0) \qquad & \text{boost}, \\
\hat{X}^i_{-1/2} = (0, 0, 0, 0, .., -2^{1/4}, .., 0) \qquad & x^i \text{ translation}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.5}$$

The Killing vector $\hat{L}_{-1}$ will be interpreted as the Hamiltonian and $\hat{N}_0$ as the particle number. For $z = 2$, special conformal transformations are part of the symmetries. The corresponding generator $\hat{L}_1$ is given by

$$\hat{L}_{+1} = (-2^{-1/2}\, x^-\, r, -2^{-1/2}(x^-)^2, \frac{\overrightarrow{x}^2 + r^2}{2}2^{-1/2}, -2^{-1/2}x^1x^-, ..., -2^{-1/2}x^i x_d)$$
special conformal transformation. (2.6)

In that case, the $\hat{L}_{-1}$, $\hat{L}_0$, $\hat{L}_1$, $X^i_{1/2}$, $X^i_{-1/2}$ and $\hat{N}_0$ form the algebra denoted as $\mathfrak{sch}_2(d)$.

This infinite-dimensional algebra is a natural generalization of the Schrödinger algebra. However, the appearance of this algebra in the asymptotic Killing equations does not

imply that it is actually realized, i.e. associated with finite, conserved, integrable and well represented charges in a phase space containing interesting solutions. We now turn our attention to this issue.

2.2 Realization of the asymptotic symmetries on a phase space for $z = 2$

This section is devoted to realize the asymptotic symmetry algebra on a phase space for $z = 2$ and $d > 0$ containing solutions of physical interest and such that the charges are finite, integrable, asymptotically conserved and well represented via a Dirac bracket. In section 2.2.1, we start the construction of this phase space by considering a two-parameter family of black brane solutions and checking whether or not the charges associated with the candidate asymptotic Killing vectors (2.2) for $z = 2$ give finite, integrable, conserved and well represented charges. Next we will turn in section 2.2.2, to the construction of a restricted phase space by acting with finite diffeomorphisms associated with the asymptotic Killing vectors (2.2) (that fulfill the above conditions on the pre-phase space) on the black branes in order to obtain a phase space that is invariant under the asymptotic symmetry algebra.

2.2.1 Black branes for the critical exponent $z = 2$

Building on earlier work of [23–25], the authors of [26] constructed for any dimension a class of black hole solutions which asymptotes to (1.1) for $z = 2$:

$$ds^2 = r^2 h^{-\frac{d}{d+1}} \left(\left[\frac{(f-1)^2}{4(h-1)} - f \right] r^2 dx^{-2} + (1+f) dx^+ dx^- + \frac{h-1}{r^2} dx^{+2} \right) + h^{\frac{1}{d+1}} \left(r^2 dx^i dx^i + \frac{dr^2}{r^2 f} \right), \tag{2.7}$$

$$A = \frac{1+f}{2h} r^2 dx^- - \frac{1-h}{h} dx^+ , \tag{2.8}$$

$$\phi = -\frac{1}{2} \ln h , \tag{2.9}$$

where $h(r) = 1 + \beta^2 r_0^{d+2}/r^d$ and $f(r) = 1 - r_0^{d+2}/r^{d+2}$, β is an arbitrary parameter, and the horizon is located at $r = r_0$. The metric (2.7) and matter fields (2.8) and (2.9) are solution of the following Einstein gravity action coupled to a dilaton and a massive vector field,

$$S = \frac{1}{16\pi G_{d+3}} \int d^{d+3}x \sqrt{-g} [R - \frac{a}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial^\mu \phi) - \frac{1}{4} e^{-a\phi} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \frac{m^2}{2} A_\mu A^\mu - V(\phi)] , \tag{2.10}$$

where G_{d+3} is the $(d+3)$-dimensional Newton's constant, the scalar potential is given by $V(\phi) = (\Lambda+\Lambda')e^{a\phi} + (\Lambda-\Lambda')e^{b\phi}$, and the coefficients are

$$\Lambda = -\frac{1}{2}(d+1)(d+2)\,, \quad \Lambda' = \frac{1}{2}(d+2)(d+3)\,, \quad m^2 = 2(d+2)\,, \quad a = (d+2)b = 2\frac{d+2}{d+1}\,.$$

In order to be able to interpret the solution as a gravity dual to a finite temperature non-relativistic system, we are required to identify the x^+ coordinate as $x^+ \sim x^+ + 2\pi x_0^+$. The particle number $\mathcal{N}$ associated with ∂_+ has then discrete values. Using the methods described in Appendix A, the charge $(D-2)$-forms associated with exact symmetries (evaluated at constant x^- and at any finite r) are found to be integrable in the phase space[3] parameterized by β and r_0. We denote the set of fields given in (2.7)-(2.9) by $\Phi(\beta, r_0)$,

$$\Phi(\beta, r_0) := \{g_{\mu\nu}(\beta, r_0), A_\mu(\beta, r_0), \phi(\beta, r_0)\}. \tag{2.11}$$

Setting the charges of the background $\bar{\Phi} = \Phi(0, +\infty)$ to zero by convention, the final expressions for the conserved exact charges are given by

$$\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{Q}_{-\partial_+} = \frac{D-1}{16\pi G_{d+3}} \frac{\beta^2 L^{D-2}}{r_0^{D-1}} (2\pi x_0^+) \mathrm{Vol}_d, \tag{2.12}$$

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \mathcal{Q}_{\partial_-} = \frac{D-3}{32\pi G_{d+3}} \frac{L^{D-2}}{r_0^{D-1}} (2\pi x_0^+) \mathrm{Vol}_d, \tag{2.13}$$

$$\mathcal{P}_i \equiv \mathcal{Q}_{\partial_i} = 0, \quad \mathcal{M}_{ij} = 0, \tag{2.14}$$

where $\mathrm{Vol}_d = \int d^d x$ is the transverse volume and $D = d+3$. The Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ and particle number $\mathcal{N}$ are finite provided we consider a finite volume Vol_d, *i.e.* we introduce a 'box' in the x^i-space to regulate the charges. These expressions (2.12)-(2.14) have been obtained using a Mathematica code[4] implementing the formulae for the charges in Appendix A for $d = 1, 2, 3$. The expression for general d has been guessed by matching that in lower dimensions, but given the simplicity of the final expression, the result is expected to be valid for any d. The Hamiltonian is identical to the one of the anti-de Sitter black brane as expected from the Null Melvin Twist procedure [23–25].

If one plans to construct a phase space containing the black brane solutions (2.7), a necessary (but not sufficient) condition that any asymptotic symmetry ξ_{as} of that phase

[3] This phase space is only a preliminary one. We should still consider all finite diffeomorphisms associated with the asymptotic Killing vectors to built the entire phase space in order for it to be invariant under the action of asymptotic symmetries.

[4] The Mathematica code can be downloaded from the homepage of G.C.

space *should* obey is that the charge $D-2$ form $\delta\mathcal{Q}_{\xi_{as}} = \int k_{\xi_{as}}[\delta_{\beta,r_0}\Phi(\beta,r_0);\Phi(\beta,r_0)]$ evaluated on $\Phi(\beta,r_0)$ for small perturbations of r_0 and β should be finite, integrable and conserved. For a general candidate asymptotic Killing vector (2.2), we can show that the charge is indeed finite (if we introduce a box) and integrable. Computing the charge $\mathcal{Q}_{\xi_{as}}[\Phi(\beta,r_0);\bar{\Phi}] = \int_{\bar{\Phi}}^{\Phi(\beta,r_0)} \delta\mathcal{Q}_{\xi_{as}}$ of the solution $\Phi(\beta,r_0)$ with respect to the background $\bar{\Phi}$ (1.1), we get the result

$$\mathcal{Q}_{\xi_{as}}[\Phi(\beta,r_0);\bar{\Phi}] = L(x^-)\mathcal{H} - N(x^-)\mathcal{N} + \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathrm{Vol}_d}\int d^dx(\vec{x}.\vec{X}'(x^-) + \frac{1}{4}\vec{x}^2L''(x^-))\,. \quad (2.15)$$

In particular, we get

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{Q}_{2\hat{L}_0} = -2x^-\mathcal{H}, \quad (2.16)$$

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{Q}_{-\sqrt{2}\hat{L}_1} = (x^-)^2\mathcal{H} + \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathrm{Vol}_d}\int d^dx\frac{1}{2}\vec{x}^2, \quad (2.17)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_i = \mathcal{Q}_{-2^{1/4}\hat{X}^i_{1/2}} = \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathrm{Vol}_d}\int d^dx x^i. \quad (2.18)$$

The dilatations and special conformal transformations are explicitly x^--dependent. They are therefore not explicitly conserved in time. We will however go back to the issue of conservation after having introduced the Dirac bracket of charges.

Let us now study if the above charges represent the algebra (2.4) (with $z=2$). We should be careful to the fact that to have finite charges, we need to introduce a regulator, i.e. a finite box of integration $\int d^dx$. An important point is that the box is *not* invariant under all the candidate asymptotic Killing vectors (2.2) with a non-vanishing spatial component ξ^i, $i = 1\ldots d$. Since the domain of integration is part of the data determining how to compute the charges, this could mean that the candidate asymptotic Killing vector modifying the location of the box should be removed from the asymptotic algebra. However, the regulator resembles more a technical obstacle than a physical limitation. Let us imagine that one could find a gravity dual to a NRCFT with fields (including the Hamiltonian density and particle number density) falling-off at spatial infinity $x^i \to \pm\infty$ instead of remaining constant. The system would be finitely extended and the charges associated with asymptotic symmetries would be defined. All these asymptotic symmetries would be interpreted in the dual picture as global symmetries of the boundary theory which are not preserved by particular solutions of that theory but which map a solution to another one with a transformed Hamiltonian and particle number density.

Note also that we do not expect the algebra to be centrally extended. As shown in [45], a central extension could only appear in the commutation relation of the Virasoro

generators. Now, the central extension in three-dimensional AdS spacetime for example [40] is possible because the Virasoro modes are expanded in exponentials depending on an angular coordinate. The central term is given by the integral of some function of the Virasoro modes in this angular coordinate which leads to a Kroneker delta $\delta_{m+n,0}$ originating from the orthogonality relations of the exponentials. In our case, since the modes $\hat{L}_m$ are polynomials in x^-, variable that we do not integrate over, it is impossible to obtain a central term of the required form proportional to a Kroneker delta $\delta_{m+n,0}$. Therefore, the central term has to vanish.

In order to define the action of symmetries on other generators including the ones which changes the shape of the box of integration, we will define the following Dirac bracket

$$\{\mathcal{Q}^{box}_{\xi_1}[\Phi;\bar{\Phi}], \mathcal{Q}^{box}_{\xi_2}[\Phi;\bar{\Phi}]\} := \delta^{\Phi}_{\xi_2}\mathcal{Q}^{box}_{\xi_1}[\Phi;\bar{\Phi}] + \delta^{box}_{\xi_2}\mathcal{Q}^{box}_{\xi_1}[\Phi;\bar{\Phi}], \tag{2.19}$$

where the first term is the usual Dirac bracket involving the variation of the fields, while the second term

$$\delta^{box}_{\xi_2}\mathcal{Q}^{box}_{\xi_1}[\Phi;\bar{\Phi}] := \lim_{\epsilon\to 0}\frac{1}{\epsilon}\left(\mathcal{Q}^{box(x-\epsilon\,\xi_2)}_{\xi_1}[\Phi;\bar{\Phi}] - \mathcal{Q}^{box(x)}_{\xi_1}[\Phi;\bar{\Phi}]\right) \tag{2.20}$$

accounts for the variation of the regulator. Here, we consider the box as some mapping of $S^1 \times S^d$ (with coordinates y^+, y^i) to the manifold parameterized by some functions $x^\mu(y^+, y^i)$. Using these definitions, one gets the expected results

$$\{\mathcal{X}^i_m, \mathcal{X}^i_n\} = (m-n)\mathcal{N}_{m+n}\delta^{ij}, \tag{2.21}$$

$$\{\mathcal{N}_m, \mathcal{L}_n\} = m\,\mathcal{N}_{m+n}, \tag{2.22}$$

$$\{\mathcal{X}^i_m, \mathcal{L}_n\} = (m-\frac{n}{2})\mathcal{X}^i_{m+n}, \tag{2.23}$$

while the individual contributions in (2.19) are not anti-symmetric under the exchange of ξ_1 and ξ_2 and thus do not make any sense by themselves. However, we also get the unexpected expressions

$$\{\mathcal{L}_m, \mathcal{L}_n\} = (m-n)\mathcal{L}_{m+n} - \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathrm{Vol}_d}\int d^dx\frac{\vec{x}^2}{4}\hat{L}_m\,\hat{L}'''_n, \tag{2.24}$$

$$\{\mathcal{L}_m, \mathcal{N}_n\} = 0, \tag{2.25}$$

$$\{\mathcal{L}_m, \mathcal{X}^i_n\} = \frac{(m+1)(2m-2n-1)}{2(2m+2n+1)}\mathcal{X}^i_{m+n}, \tag{2.26}$$

which show that the Dirac bracket as defined in (2.19) does not make sense in general since it is not anti-symmetric. However for the charges associated with the exact Killing vectors, it is easy to check that this Dirac bracket is well defined and is isomorphic to the

algebra of exact symmetry generators. Note that we have to take into account the effect coming from the variation of the box for the exact charges to be correctly represented. The Dirac bracket could be "anti-symmetrized" by definition but it would not help since the average between e.g. the correct right-hand side in (2.22) and the incorrect right-hand side of (2.25) would not be isomorphic to the algebra of generators.

Using the definition of the modified Dirac bracket, let us now notice that even though $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ are time dependent, their total time derivatives

$$\frac{D}{Dx^-}\mathcal{D} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^-}\mathcal{D} + \{\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{H}\} = -2\mathcal{H} + 2\mathcal{H} = 0, \tag{2.27}$$

$$\frac{D}{Dx^-}\mathcal{C} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^-}\mathcal{C} + \{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{H}\} = 2x^-\mathcal{H} + \mathcal{D} = 0 \tag{2.28}$$

vanish as it should. Expanding in modes as in (2.3), we can also check that all Schrödinger charges $\mathcal{L}_n$, $n = -1, 0, 1$, $\mathcal{N}_0$, $\mathcal{X}^i_n$, $n = \pm\frac{1}{2}$ associated with asymptotic vectors with non-zero L_n, N_n and X^i_n respectively are totally conserved,

$$\frac{D}{Dx^-}\mathcal{L}_n = 0, \qquad \frac{D}{Dx^-}\mathcal{N}_n = 0, \qquad \frac{D}{Dx^-}\mathcal{X}^i_n = 0. \tag{2.29}$$

This conservation property is familiar from the AdS_3 example in Einstein gravity [40] where even though the Virasoro charges depend explicitly on time, they are totally conserved because the symplectic flux at the boundary is zero. However, contrary to the AdS_3 example, the total derivative of the infinite-dimensional extension of those generators is not defined because the Dirac bracket is not defined.

At this point in the discussion, we could summarize as follows: only the exact symmetries of the background, *i.e.* the Schrödinger algebra $\mathfrak{sch}_2(d)$, are associated with well-defined charges on our pre-phase space provided that we introduce a regulator.

2.2.2 Restricted phase space for $z = 2$

The set of candidate asymptotic symmetries has been reduced to the set of exact Killing vectors. Let us now act with finite diffeomorphisms of parameter p associated with any Schrödinger generator on the black brane solutions (2.7), and check that finiteness, conservation and integrability hold for these new solutions $\Phi[\beta, r_0, p] \equiv (g[\beta, r_0, p], A[\beta, r_0, p], \phi[\beta, r_0, p])$ as well.

We first focus on diffeomorphisms associated with the candidate asymptotic Killing vector (2.2) with $L(x^-) = 0$, we will specify the modes corresponding to exact Killing vectors only afterwards. The vector field

$$\xi_{as}(\vec{\mathfrak{X}}(x^-), \mathfrak{N}(x^-)) = (\mathfrak{N}(x^-) - \vec{x}.\vec{\mathfrak{X}}'(x^-))\partial_+ + \mathfrak{X}^i(x^-)\partial_- \tag{2.30}$$

generates the following active finite diffeomorphism of parameter p,

$$\begin{aligned} x^i &\to x^i + p\mathfrak{X}^i(x^-), \qquad r \to r, \qquad x^- \to x^-, \\ x^+ &\to x^+ + p(\mathfrak{N}(x^-) - \vec{x}.\vec{\mathfrak{X}}'(x^-)) - \frac{p^2}{2}\vec{\mathfrak{X}}(x^-).\vec{\mathfrak{X}}'(x^-). \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

The integrability conditions

$$I \equiv \int_S \delta^{(2)}_{r_0,\beta,p} k_{\xi_{as}(L(x^-),N(x^-))}[\delta^{(1)}_{r_0,\beta,p}\Phi(r_0,\beta,p);\Phi(r_0,\beta,p)] - ((1) \leftrightarrow (2)) = 0 \tag{2.32}$$

should hold for all asymptotic symmetries $\xi_{as}(L(x^-), N(x^-))$ (see eq. (2.2)) of interest. We get that

$$\begin{aligned} I = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_d}\int d^dx \left(\mathfrak{N}'(x^-) - (\vec{x} + p\vec{\mathfrak{X}}(x^-)).\vec{\mathfrak{X}}''(x^-)\right) \\ \times \left(\delta^{(1)}(\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2\pi x_0^+})\delta^{(2)}p - [(1) \leftrightarrow (2)]\right) L(x^-), \end{aligned} \tag{2.33}$$

where $\mathcal{N}$ is the particle number depending on β and r_0 given in (2.12). For the modes corresponding to exact symmetries, *i.e.* $\mathfrak{N} = 1$, $\mathfrak{X}^i = -2^{-1/4}x^-$ and $\mathfrak{X}^i = -2^{1/4}$, the integrability condition $I = 0$ is fulfilled.

Let us also compute the integrability condition for the diffeomorphisms associated with a non-zero $L(x^-)$. We focus on a particular mode of $L(x^-)$: $\hat{L}_n(x^-) = -2^{-n/2}(x^-)^{n+1}$ and will specify to the exact modes only afterwards. The finite diffeomorphisms have the form

$$\begin{aligned} x^- &\to x^-(1 - pn(x^-)^n)^{-1/n}, \qquad x^i \to x^i(1 - pn(x^-)^n)^{-(n+1)/(2n)}, \\ r &\to r(1 - pn(x^-)^n)^{-(n+1)/(2n)}, \qquad x^+ \to x^+ - \frac{n+1}{4}\frac{r^2 + \vec{x}^2}{x^-}\left((1 - pn(x^-)^n)^{-1} - 1\right), \end{aligned}$$

and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I = \frac{1}{\mathrm{Vol}_d}\int d^dx \left(n(n^2-1)\frac{\vec{x}^2}{4}(x^-)^{n-2}(1 - pn(x^-)^n)^{-\frac{1+7n}{2n}}\right) \\ \times \left(\delta^{(1)}(\frac{\mathcal{N}}{2\pi x_0^+})\delta^{(2)}p - ((1) \leftrightarrow (2))\right) L(x^-). \end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

This expression vanishes for $n = -1, 0, 1$. This fact is consistent with the expectation that all the exact symmetries of the background will belong to the asymptotic symmetry algebra. Remark that if we were able to define a modified Dirac bracket that represents correctly all the charges associated with ξ_{as}, we would conclude from expressions (2.33)-(2.34) that we have to fix the number of particles $\mathcal{N} =$ constant in order to get integrable charges.

On the phase space constructed by acting with Schrödinger diffeomorphisms only, the conserved charges (which are complicated non-linear functions of the metric) are finite (if we introduce a 'box'), conserved and well represented. The asymptotic symmetry algebra can be summarized as follows:

(i) strictly speaking, the asymptotic algebra is empty since our charges are either null or infinite, the phase space is therefore also empty;

(ii) if we introduce a box, the infinite charges are regulated. We need to restrict the asymptotic symmetry algebra to the exact symmetry algebra in order for the charges to be well represented. If we require the box to be invariant under the asymptotic symmetry algebra, we get as asymptotic algebra only the Hamiltonian $\hat{L}_{-1}$ and the particle number $\hat{N}_0$ (supplemented by the rotations M_{ij} if we choose the box to be a sphere centered at the origin of the x-space);

(iii) if we allow the box to be acted upon by other generators, the asymptotic symmetries consist of all exact generators $\mathfrak{sch}_2(d) = \{\hat{L}_{-1}, \hat{L}_0, \hat{L}_1, \hat{N}_0, \hat{X}_{-1/2}, \hat{X}_{1/2}, M_{ij}\}$.

The phase space constructed in the previous sections is extremely limited since it contains no bulk excitations. It would be interesting to define boundary conditions including at the same time bulk excitations and Schrödinger asymptotic symmetries. However, given the non-linearities in the asymptotic region, such an analysis would be pretty tedious, see however [56].

2.3 Realization of the asymptotic symmetries on a phase space for $z > 2$: an example

The generic family of black brane solutions with $z \neq 2$ in any dimension is not known. We will therefore analyze the case $z > 2$ by considering a particular case : $z = 3$ in $D = 5$. As for the $z = 2$ case, we will first compute the charges for a family of black holes depending on two parameters and verify their conservation, finiteness (up to a regulator), integrability and representation through a Dirac bracket. Since the computations are analogous to the ones of the $z = 2$ case, the asymptotic algebra will *a priori* not contain any infinite-dimensional extensions of the exact symmetry group of the background. Next we turn to the construction of the entire phase space by acting with finite diffeomorphisms associated with the asymptotic Killing vectors.

2.3.1 Black holes with $z = 3$ in $d = 2$

For $z \neq 2$, we do not generically know black hole solutions that asymptote (1.1). But nicely, for the particular case of $z = 3$ in $d = 2$, the following black hole metric[5]

$$ds^2 = \frac{1}{r^2 f(r)} dr^2 - (dx^-)^2 (\frac{f(r)}{r^6} - \frac{r^2 r_+^4}{4\beta^2}) + dx^- dx^+ (\frac{1 + f(r)}{r^2}) + r^2 r_+^4 \beta^2 (dx^+)^2 + \frac{dx_1^2 + dx_2^2}{r^2}, \tag{2.35}$$

where $f(r) = 1 - r_+^4 r^4$ does asymptote (1.1). It is a solution of the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2\kappa^2} \int d^5x \sqrt{-g} (e^{-2\phi} (R - 2\Lambda - \frac{1}{12} H_{\mu\nu\rho} H^{\mu\nu\rho}) - \frac{1}{12} F_{\mu\nu\rho} F^{\mu\nu\rho}) + \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \int B \wedge F \,, \tag{2.36}$$

where $F = dC$ and $H = dB$. The metric (2.35) is supported by the following cosmological constant and matter fields

$$B = (\frac{1 + f(r)}{r^4} \, dx^- + 2 r_+^4 \beta^2 \, dx^+) \wedge dx_1 \,, \tag{2.37}$$

$$C = -2 e^{-\phi} \frac{f(r)}{r^4} \, dx^- \wedge dx_2, \tag{2.38}$$

$$\Lambda = -10 + \frac{4}{1 + r_+^4 \beta^2} \,, \quad e^{\phi} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + r_+^4 \beta^2}} \,. \tag{2.39}$$

In the coordinates chosen, even though the metric asymptotically approaches the one of (1.1), the dilaton and the field C have different value at infinity for different values of $r_+^4 \beta^2$. The fields are therefore not strictly speaking asymptotic to the zero-temperature solution. This will make the analysis of asymptotic charges quite subtle. In order to describe a non-relativistic system with a discrete spectrum for the particle number, we should identify the x^+ coordinate as $x^+ \sim x^+ + 2\pi x_0^+$. Hence, any transformation which does not depend periodically on x^+ cannot exist. In particular, the dilatation and all Virasoro generators which are part of the candidate asymptotic Killing vectors (2.2) cannot be part of the asymptotic symmetries, except the Hamiltonian for which $L'(x^-) = 0$ (in contrast to the $z = 2$ case).

It turns out that the charge $D - 2$-form associated with the generator ∂_- is not integrable in the phase space parameterized by β and r_+. Therefore, the Hamiltonian cannot be associated with ∂_- following standard prescriptions. One way to define the Hamiltonian

[5] We thank M. Rangamani for sharing his unpublished notes on these solutions analogous to the ones of [63].

consists in multiplying the generator ∂_- by an "integrating factor" $f(r_+,\beta)$ chosen such that the resulting charge is integrable, see [53]. One finds that $f(r_+,\beta)$ has to have the form

$$f(r_+,\beta) = r_+^2 \tilde{f}(r_+^4 + \beta^2 r_+^8)\,. \tag{2.40}$$

A natural choice for $\tilde{f}$ is to require that the integrating factors goes to 1 when r_+ goes to zero. The resulting unique factor is given by

$$f(r_+,\beta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\beta^2 r_+^4}}\,, \tag{2.41}$$

which is in fact the same expression as the dilaton which is non-trivial at infinity. The Hamitonian is then defined as

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \frac{1}{f(r_+,\beta)} \mathcal{Q}_{f(r_+,\beta)\partial_-}\,. \tag{2.42}$$

We will see that this is the correct prescription to obtain an isomorphism between the algebra of asymptotic symmetries and the Dirac bracket[6].

Setting the charges of the background to zero by convention, the final expressions for the charges associated with the vectors (2.2) are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{H} \equiv f(r_+,\beta)^{-1}\mathcal{Q}_{f(r_+,\beta)\partial_-} &= \frac{r_+^4(1+r_+^4\beta^2)^{3/2}}{16\pi G}\,(2\pi x_0^+)\,\mathrm{Vol}_d\,,\\
\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{Q}_{-\partial_+} &= \frac{r_+^4\beta^2(1+r_+^4\beta^2)^{3/2}}{4\pi G}\,(2\pi x_0^+)\,\mathrm{Vol}_d\,,\\
\mathcal{Q}_{\hat{X}_n^i} &= \frac{\mathcal{N}}{\mathrm{Vol}_d}\int d^dx\, x^i X_n^{i\prime}(x^-)\,,\\
\mathcal{Q}_{\hat{N}_n} &= -N_n(x^-)\mathcal{N}\,,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.43}$$

where prime denotes derivative with respect to x^-. Note that the charge associated with translations $\mathcal{X}^i_{-1/2}$ and angular momentum are zero. Using the definition of the Dirac bracket (2.19), one obtains $\{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{N}_n\} = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{\mathcal{H},\mathcal{X}^i_n\} = 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$. We see that the isomorphism with the symmetry algebra (2.4) holds only for the expected generators $\hat{N}_0$, $\hat{X}^i_{-1/2}$ and $\hat{X}^i_{1/2}$ while the representation of the infinite-dimensional generalizations of these generators breaks down, exactly as in the $z=2$ case. One can check that the remaining Dirac brackets have the expected commutation rules.

[6]In the treatment of [64], the integrating factor that was considered in order to define the energy was not compensated by an overall inverse integrating factor in front of the integrated charge. The current prescription would also be natural in that context, for it would reproduce the expectation that the energy of Gödel black holes and black strings in pp-waves spacetimes are equal since those solutions are related by dualities [65].

2.3.2 Restricted phase space for $z = 3$, $d = 2$

We could act with the finite diffeomorphisms associated with the candidate asymptotic symmetries on the black holes (2.35) to construct a restricted phase space. According to the analysis done in the previous section, the candidate asymptotic symmetries are reduced to the Galilean algebra and the particle number $\xi_{cand} = \{\hat{H}, \hat{N}, \hat{X}^i_{-1/2}, \hat{X}^i_{1/2}, \hat{M}_{12}\}$. It is then straightforward to check that the family obtained by acting with the finite diffeomorphisms associated with these vectors on the black brane solutions is a good phase space, *i.e.* is invariant under the Galilean algebra together with the particle number, and is such that all charges on the family are finite (up to the regulator), integrable, totally conserved and well represented via the generalized Dirac bracket (2.19).

3. Conclusion and discussion

We have studied the representation of asymptotic charges in asymptotically Schrödinger spacetimes. While there exists a consistent infinite-dimensional algebra which extends the Schrödinger algebra in any dimension and for any dynamical exponent z, the charges associated with these generators have been shown not to obey a regular Dirac bracket algebra in the sense of Brown-Henneaux.

Our derivation proceeded by providing a Lagrangian method to derive the conserved charges of black branes in Schrödinger spacetimes. Since these branes are infinitely extended, they require a cut-off in each spatial direction. The regularized charges then depend on this spatial cutoff which is not invariant under the whole Schrödinger algebra. A Dirac bracket between two charges including the variation of the cut-off was defined and was shown to represent the asymptotic Schrödinger algebra of symmetries. Moreover, the Schrödinger asymptotic charges were shown to be conserved in the sense that the total derivative of the charges, including both the explicit time dependence and the commutator with the Hamitonian, was shown to be zero. However, none of the proposed generators in the infinite extension of this algebra appeared to have well-defined Dirac brackets on the restricted phase space of black branes, i.e. on the finite-temperature solutions. We thereby concluded that the infinite-dimensional extension is not part of any asymptotic symmetry algebra of a phase space containing these black branes. We can thus argue that non-relativistic systems having a gravity dual will contain fields forming representations of the Schrödinger group, and not the Schrödinger-Virasoro group.

Let us now discuss some extensions and directions for future developments. Our asymptotic analysis is identical if one considers the global coordinates for the Schrödinger metric obtained in [66] since the behavior of the metric only differs from the metric we studied by terms becoming subleading at the boundary. Also, since the charges are regulated using a box in all dual spatial directions, one could equivalently consider an infinitesimal box or, equivalently, charge densities and the same conclusions would apply.

An interesting possibility comes from the Schrödinger spacetime with a spherical spatial boundary described in [67]. One could expect that the finite area spatial boundary would give finite charges without needing a regulator which introduced all the problems in the representation of the charges[7]. Therefore, an infinite-dimensional extension in these backgrounds is not discarded. The boundary theory would however have to be defined on a sphere which is pretty usual from the condensed matter perspective.

The canonical charges associated with the generators of time-translation, translation in the compact null direction and spatial translations were obtained straightforwardly for $z = 2$. For $z = 3$, however, a subtle manipulation of the conserved charge was necessary in order to define an integrable charge which is still associated with the canonical time and which still represent the algebra of asymptotic symmetries. General results on the equivalence of Hamiltonian and Lagrangian formalisms, and the unicity of the charges shows that identical results would be obtained in Hamiltonian framework if one also uses the prescription (2.42) we introduced for the integration in phase space.

We also comment in Appendix B on the relationship between our results and another class of gravitational backgrounds relevant to the non-relativistic AdS/CFT correspondence, namely the Lifshitz spacetimes [60]. We show that a candidate infinite-dimensional extension of the Lifshitz symmetry can be defined. However, a regulator and a modified Dirac bracket should be defined. This can be argued to lead to the same problems as the ones encountered in the Schrödinger case.

Another approach to look at gravitational backgrounds dual to NRCFTs with Schrödinger invariance relies on the observation that, in non-relativistic systems, the number or mass operator usually appears as a central element between the translations and boosts instead of being a generator on its own[8]. Since central extensions cannot appear in the bracket of exact symmetries, one idea would be to look at spacetimes which do not admit the full Schrödinger algebra as an exact symmetry group, but instead realize it as its asymptotic

[7] We thank A. Adams for a discussion on that issue.

[8] We thank A. Maloney for sharing his thoughts on these questions and for his suggestions.

symmetry group. One natural question is to ask if the Lifshitz spacetimes can realize such a scenario since they admit translations but not boosts as exact symmetries. However, the exact statement is that the central elements can appear only in the Dirac bracket between two asymptotic symmetries [50]. This is easily seen by using the anti-symmetry of the central charge,

$$\mathcal{K}_{P_i,K_j} = \int_{S^\infty} k_{P_i}[\mathcal{L}_{K_i}\bar{\Phi};\bar{\Phi}] = -\int_{S^\infty} k_{K_j}[\mathcal{L}_{P_i}\bar{\Phi};\bar{\Phi}] \tag{3.1}$$

between the translations and rotations, where $\bar{\Phi}$ are the fields of the background including the metric. Since the Lifshitz spacetime is translation-invariant, it is not appropriate to realize that idea. The only way the number operator could appear as central element would be to consider a gravity background where both translations and Galilean boosts would be realized as asymptotic isometries.

In place of Schrödinger algebras, NRCFT can be based on Galilean conformal algebras [68, 69]. The proposal of gauge/gravity correspondences based on Galilean conformal algebras has been developed so far using the Newton-Cartan formalism (see e.g. [70] and references therein). It has been argued recently in [71] that infinite-dimensional extensions of the asymptotic symmetry group could occur in that context as well. Unfortunately, our charge analysis does not extend straightforwardly to this case since the lack of a regular metric in the bulk would prevent one to use covariant phase space methods to define the conserved charges of the theory to infirm or confirm the proposal.

In this paper we focused on spacetimes of dimensions strictly greater than 3 which are conjectured to be dual to field theories living in a positive number of spatial dimensions. However, from the classical asymptotic analysis of AdS spaces of [40, 43, 72], it is expected that the three-dimensional background will exhibit specific features with respect to its higher-dimensional counterparts. One can show it is indeed the case: as for AdS_3, the asymptotic symmetry algebra becomes infinite-dimensional with completely well-defined charges satisfying a Virasoro algebra. Those results will be presented elsewhere.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Allan Adams, Nicolay Bobev, Gaston Giribet, Sean Hartnoll, Mokhtar Hassaine, Marc Henneaux, Petr Hořava, Veronika Hubeny, Josh Lapan, Alex Maloney, Don Marolf, Charles Melby-Thompson, Michael Mulligan, Mukund Rangamani, Sakura Schafer-Nameki, Philippe Spindel, Andy Strominger and Jérémie Unterberger for

fruitful discussions. The work of GC is supported in part by the US National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY05-55669, and by funds from the University of California. The work of SdB and SD are funded by the European Commission though the grants PIOF-GA-2008-220338 and PIOF-GA-2008-219950 (Home institution: Université Libre de Bruxelles, Service de Physique Théorique et Mathématique, Campus de la Plaine, B-1050 Bruxelles, Belgium). The work of KY was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. PHY05-51164 and by the Grant-in-Aid for the Global COE Program "The Next Generation of Physics, Spun from Universality and Emergence" from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT) of Japan.

A. Method to compute conserved charges

In this appendix, we will briefly review the formalism of [51–53] to compute conserved or asymptotically conserved charges. We will present the method for gravity in D dimensions coupled to one p-form and then provide the relevant definitions for a more general Lagrangian including multiple p-forms, scalar fields as well as $U(1)$ and gravitational Chern-Simons terms.

A.1 General definitions illustrated on an example

Let us explain how conserved charges are defined on an example : the Einstein–p-form system in D dimensions with the following action,

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int d^D x \left[\sqrt{-g}\left(R - \frac{1}{2}\star \mathbf{F}\wedge\mathbf{F}\right)\right], \tag{A.1}$$

where $\mathbf{F} = d\mathbf{A}$. The gauge parameters of the theory $(\xi, \mathbf{\Lambda})$, where ξ generates infinitesimal diffeomorphisms and $\mathbf{\Lambda}$ is the parameter of $U(1)$ gauge transformations are endowed with the Lie algebra structure

$$[(\xi, \mathbf{\Lambda}), (\xi', \mathbf{\Lambda'})]_G = ([\xi, \xi'], [\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{\Lambda'}]), \tag{A.2}$$

where the $[\xi, \xi']$ is the Lie bracket and $[\mathbf{\Lambda}, \mathbf{\Lambda'}] \equiv \mathcal{L}_\xi \mathbf{\Lambda'} - \mathcal{L}_{\xi'}\mathbf{\Lambda}$. We will denote for compactness the fields as $\phi \equiv (g_{\mu\nu}, \mathbf{A})$ and the gauge parameters as $f = (\xi^\mu, \mathbf{\Lambda})$. For a given field ϕ, the gauge parameters f satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}_\xi g_{\mu\nu} \approx 0, \qquad \mathcal{L}_\xi \mathbf{A} + d\mathbf{\Lambda} \approx 0, \tag{A.3}$$

where $\approx$ is the on-shell equality, will be called the exact symmetry parameters of the field configuration ϕ. Parameters $(\xi, \mathbf{\Lambda}) \approx 0$ are called trivial symmetry parameters. The set of

gauge parameters which satisfy the equations (A.3) in an asymptotic region, i.e. such that in some large radius r limit the equations are satisfied at leading order, and which form a Lie algebra, will be called 'candidate asymptotic symmetries'. The concept of (truely) asymptotic symmetries are defined as a subset of those which are associated to finite, conserved and integrable charges, see the next definitions.

It exists a canonical algorithm to construct a spacetime $D-2$ form

$$\mathbf{k}_f[\delta\phi;\phi], \tag{A.4}$$

which is also a one-form in field space (because the expression is linear in $\delta\phi$ and its derivatives) such that the following properties hold :

- The conserved quantity associated with any exact symmetry parameter f that provides the difference of charge between the solution ϕ and the solution $\phi+\delta\phi$ where $\delta\phi$ obeys the linearized equations of motion is given by

$$\delta Q_f := \oint_S \mathbf{k}_f[\delta\phi;\phi] \tag{A.5}$$

  and only depend on the homology class of the $D-2$ surface S. As a consequence, the conserved charge (A.5) is finite and time-independent. One can further show that the conserved charge is unique, i.e. there is a one-to-one correspondence mapping a couple of symmetry parameters and a surface of given homology class and conserved charges (A.5) [73].

- The quantity associated with a candidate asymptotic symmetry parameter f that provides the difference of charge between the solution ϕ and the solution $\phi+\delta\phi$ where $\delta\phi$ obeys the linearized equations of motion is given by

$$\delta Q_f := \lim_{r\to\infty} \oint_{S^r} \mathbf{k}_f[\delta\phi;\phi]. \tag{A.6}$$

  This quantity can be infinite and/or not conserved depending on the choice of boundary conditions obeyed by ϕ and $\delta\phi$. Given a definition of phase space, one has to discard any candidate asymptotic symmetry which violates the conditions of finiteness and conservation of the charges.

- The form (A.4) is constructed out of the equations of motion and therefore does not depend on boundary terms that may be added to the Lagrangian. Moreover, the form is a linear functional of the equations of motion, and so, of the Lagrangian. One

can therefore construct this form by summing up the individual contributions from the different pieces of the Lagrangian.

Additional properties of the charge form (A.4) are discussed in [62, 74]. In the case of the Lagrangian (A.1), one gets

$$\mathbf{k}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\delta\phi;\phi] = \mathbf{k}^{g}_{\xi}[\delta g; g] + k^{\mathbf{A}}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\delta\phi;\phi], \tag{A.7}$$

where the gravitational contribution to the charge form is given by [51, 75]

$$\mathbf{k}^{g}_{\xi}[\delta g; g] = -\delta\mathbf{Q}^{g}_{\xi} - i_{\xi}\mathbf{\Theta}^{g}[\delta g] - \mathbf{E}^{g}_{\mathcal{L}}[\mathcal{L}_{\xi} g, \delta g], \tag{A.8}$$

where

$$\mathbf{Q}^{g}_{\xi} = \star\Big(\frac{1}{2}(D_{\mu}\xi_{\nu} - D_{\nu}\xi_{\mu})dx^{\mu}\wedge dx^{\nu}\Big), \tag{A.9}$$

$$\mathbf{\Theta}^{g}[\delta g] = \star\Big((D^{\sigma}\delta g_{\mu\sigma} - g^{\alpha\beta}D_{\mu}\delta g_{\alpha\beta})\, dx^{\mu}\Big), \tag{A.10}$$

$$\mathbf{E}^{g}_{\mathcal{L}}[\delta_2 g, \delta_1 g] = \star\Big(\frac{1}{2}\delta_1 g_{\mu\alpha} g^{\alpha\beta}\delta_2 g_{\beta\nu} dx^{\mu}\wedge dx^{\nu}\Big). \tag{A.11}$$

The term (A.9) is the Komar $D-2$ form and the supplementary term, $E^{g}_{\mathcal{L}}$, with respect to the Iyer-Wald form [76] vanishes for Killing vectors but may be relevant for asymptotic symmetries. In (A.7), we define δ as an operator acting on the fields ϕ but not on ξ. The p-form contribution to the charge form is given by [77]

$$\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{A}}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}}[\delta\phi;\phi] = -\delta\mathbf{Q}^{\mathbf{A}}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}} + i_{\xi}\mathbf{\Theta}_{\mathbf{A}} - \mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\mathcal{L}_{\xi}\mathbf{A} + d\mathbf{\Lambda}, \delta\mathbf{A}] \tag{A.12}$$

with

$$Q^{\mathbf{A}}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}} = (i_{\xi}\mathbf{A} + \mathbf{\Lambda})\wedge\star\mathbf{F}, \qquad \mathbf{\Theta}^{\mathbf{A}} = \delta\mathbf{A}\wedge\star\mathbf{F}, \tag{A.13}$$

$$\mathbf{E}^{\mathbf{A}}_{\mathcal{L}}[\delta_2\mathbf{A}, \delta_1\mathbf{A}] = \star\big(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{(p-1)!}\delta_1\mathbf{A}_{\mu\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{p-1}}\delta_2\mathbf{A}_{\nu}{}^{\alpha_1\cdots\alpha_{p-1}}dx^{\mu}\wedge dx^{\nu}\big). \tag{A.14}$$

The set of fields ϕ, $\delta\phi$ and gauge parameters $(\xi, \mathbf{\Lambda})$ that satisfies the conditions

$$\oint_{S}\delta_1 k_f[\delta_2\phi, \phi] - (1\leftrightarrow 2) = 0, \tag{A.15}$$

$$\oint_{S}\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{L}}[\delta_1\phi, \delta_2\phi] - (1\leftrightarrow 2) = 0, \tag{A.16}$$

define a space of fields and parameters which we denote as the integrable space $\mathcal{I}$. In this space, we define the charges difference between the reference field $\bar{\phi}$ and the field ϕ associated with $f = (\xi, \mathbf{\Lambda})$ as

$$\mathcal{Q}_{(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda})}[\phi, \bar{\phi}] = \oint_{S}\int_{\gamma} k_{(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda})}[\delta\phi, \phi] + \mathcal{N}_{(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda})}[\bar{\phi}], \tag{A.17}$$

where γ is a path in field space contained in $\mathcal{I}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda})}[\bar{\phi}]$ is an arbitrary normalization constant. The condition (A.15) ensures that the charge is independent on smooth deformations of the path γ. The condition (A.16) is a technical assumption needed for the representation theorem, see below. A candidate asymptotic symmetry $f[\phi]$ will be called an asymptotic symmetry of a given phase space at ϕ if the conserved charges associated to $f[\phi]$ around ϕ are all finite, conserved and integrable.

Let us denote as $\mathcal{A}$ the largest algebra of asymptotic symmetries $f[\phi] = (\xi[g, \mathbf{A}], \mathbf{\Lambda}[g, \mathbf{A}])$ such that for each field ϕ in the phase space the set of parameters $f[\phi]$ form a closed Lie algebra under the bracket defined in (A.2) and such that all these algebras are isomorphic. Using the conditions (A.15)-(A.16), one can then show that for any solutions $\bar{\phi}$ and ϕ in the integrable space, and for any (ξ, λ), (ξ', λ') in $\mathcal{A}$, the Dirac bracket defined by

$$\big\{\mathcal{Q}_{(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda})}[\phi,\bar{\phi}], \mathcal{Q}_{(\xi',\mathbf{\Lambda}')}[\phi,\bar{\phi}]\big\} \equiv \oint_{S^\infty} k_{(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda})}[(\mathcal{L}_{\xi'} g_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi'}\mathbf{A} + d\mathbf{\Lambda}'); \phi] \tag{A.18}$$

can be written as

$$\big\{\mathcal{Q}_{(\xi,\lambda)}[\phi,\bar{\phi}], \mathcal{Q}_{(\xi',\mathbf{\Lambda}')}[\phi,\bar{\phi}]\big\} = \mathcal{Q}_{[(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}),(\xi',\mathbf{\Lambda}')]_G}[\phi,\bar{\phi}] - \mathcal{N}_{[(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}),(\xi',\mathbf{\Lambda}')]_G}[\bar{\phi}] + \mathcal{K}_{(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}),(\xi',\mathbf{\Lambda}')}[\bar{\phi}], \tag{A.19}$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}_{(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}),(\xi',\mathbf{\Lambda}')}[\bar{\phi}] = \int_{S^\infty} k_{(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda})}[(\mathcal{L}_{\xi'}\bar{g}_{\mu\nu}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi'}\bar{\mathbf{A}} + d\mathbf{\Lambda}'); \bar{\phi}] \tag{A.20}$$

is a central extension which is considered as trivial if it can be reabsorbed in the normalization of the charges $\mathcal{N}_{[(\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}),(\xi',\mathbf{\Lambda}')]_G}[\bar{\phi}]$.

A.2 Charge form for a more general Lagrangian

For a general action with r scalar fields $\overrightarrow{\chi} = \{\chi_1, ...\chi_r\}$ and any number of p-form fields,

$$I = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int \left(R \star \mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{2} \star d\overrightarrow{\chi} \wedge d\overrightarrow{\chi} - \frac{1}{2}\sum_a e^{-\overrightarrow{\alpha_a}.\overrightarrow{\chi}} \star \mathbf{F}^a \wedge \mathbf{F}^a \right), \tag{A.21}$$

the charge form is given in terms of the building blocks defined in section A.1 as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{k}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}^a}[\delta\phi;\phi] \;=\; & \mathbf{k}^g_\xi[\delta g; g] + \sum_a e^{-\overrightarrow{\alpha_a}.\overrightarrow{\chi}} k^{\mathbf{A}^a}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}^a}[\delta\phi;\phi] + \sum_i \mathbf{k}^{\chi^i}_\xi[\delta\phi;\phi] \\ & + \sum_a \mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{A}_a\, suppl}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}_a}[\delta\phi;\phi], \end{aligned} \tag{A.22}$$

where

$$\mathbf{k}^{\chi^i}_\xi[\delta\phi;\phi] \;=\; i_\xi\big(\star\,(d\chi^i\delta\chi^i)\big) \qquad \text{no sum over } i\ , \tag{A.23}$$

$$\mathbf{k}^{\mathbf{A}_a\, suppl}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}_a}[\delta\phi;\phi] \;=\; \delta\overrightarrow{\chi}.\overrightarrow{\alpha}\, e^{-\overrightarrow{\alpha}_a.\overrightarrow{\chi}}\, \mathcal{Q}^{\mathbf{A}_a}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}_a}. \tag{A.24}$$

The last contribution can be understood by the fact that the charge form of the p-forms will have an expression similar to (A.12) with a Komar term $\mathcal{Q}^{\mathbf{A}^a}_{\xi,\mathbf{\Lambda}^a}$ including the factor $e^{-\overrightarrow{\alpha_a}\cdot\overrightarrow{\chi}}$.

In section 2.3.1, we compute charges for a solution of a five dimensional theory with a Chern-Simons term (2.36) of the following form

$$I_{CS} = \mathbf{B} \wedge d\mathbf{C}. \tag{A.25}$$

One can compute the corresponding contribution to the charge form and one gets

$$k^{CS}[\delta\phi;\phi] = \delta\mathbf{B} \wedge i_\xi \mathbf{C} - i_\xi \mathbf{B} \wedge \delta\mathbf{C}\,. \tag{A.26}$$

Note also that in the string frame $I = \frac{1}{16\pi G}\int \left(e^{-2\chi}R \star \mathbb{1} - \frac{1}{2}\star d\chi \wedge d\chi + ...\right)$, the gravitational contribution to the charge form is modified to

$$\mathbf{k}^{g\,string frame}_\xi[\delta\phi;\phi] = e^{-2\chi}\,\mathbf{k}^g_\xi[\delta\phi;\phi] - \delta(e^{-2\chi})\mathcal{Q}^g_\xi + \text{terms}(\partial\chi)\,, \tag{A.27}$$

where the last terms are proportional to at least one derivative of the dilaton and thus vanish if the dilaton is constant. They play no role for the solutions of interest in this paper.

B. Candidate asymptotic symmetries for Lifshitz spacetimes

Gravity duals to non-relativistic systems governed by Lifshitz symmetry have also been considered [60]. The zero-temperature background

$$ds^2 = \frac{dr^2}{r^2} - r^{-2z}dt^2 + r^{-2}dx^i dx^i \qquad (i = 1, ..., d) \tag{B.1}$$

can be described formally as the Kaluza-Klein reduction along the null direction x^+ of the background (1.1). The kinematics analysis of these spacetimes will therefore be very similar to the one performed in the main text. However, since the theory describing Lifshitz spacetimes is different than Schrödinger spacetimes, the analyses of conserved charges will be different. The lack of a Null Melvin Twist procedure will also prevent one to use correspondences with AdS to derive the conserved charges. Since the only black hole solutions known so far are numerical [78–80], we will not attempt to construct an analytical phase space in this paper and we will limit our discussion to kinematical aspects of the asymptotic symmetries.

For simplicity, let us focus on the $d = 2$ case. We solved the asymptotic Killing equations up to certain convenient orders and obtained the following candidate asymptotic Killing vectors,

$$\begin{aligned}\xi_{asym} = & \frac{r}{z}L'(t)\partial_r + L(t)\partial_t + (X^1(t) + x^2 M + \frac{x^1}{z}L'(t))\partial_{x^1} \\ & +(X^2(t) - x^1 M + \frac{x^2}{z}L'(t))\partial_{x^2}\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.2}$$

The exact symmetries are recovered when $L''(t) = 0$, $X^{1\prime}(t) = 0$ and $X^{2\prime}(t) = 0$. The Hamiltonian corresponds to $L(t) = 1$, the dilations to $L(t) = 2t$, the x^1-translations to $X^1(t) = 1$, the x^2-translations to $X^2(t) = 1$ and the rotations to M. Defining the generators

$$L_n = \xi_{asym}(L(t) = -2^{-n/2}t^{n+1}) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{B.3}$$

$$X^i_n = \xi_{asym}(X^i(t) = -2^{-n/2}t^{n+1/2}) \quad \text{for } n \in \mathbb{Z} + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{B.4}$$

we obtain the infinite dimensional algebra

$$\begin{aligned} i[L_m, L_n] &= (m-n)L_{m+n}\,, \\ i[L_m, X^i_n] &= (\frac{m}{z} - n + \frac{2-z}{2z})X^i_{m+n}\,, \\ [X^i_m, X^j_n] &= 0\,. \end{aligned} \tag{B.5}$$

The asymptotic symmetry algebra of Lifshitz spaces is a truncation of the Schrödinger algebra $\mathfrak{sch}_z(d)$ (2.4). The generalization of these candidate asymptotic symmetries to any dimensions is straightforward. It is amusing to observe that, for $d = 1$ and $z = 2$, (B.5) is precisely the symmetry algebra of the Burgers equation driven by an external force relevant in turbulence theory (see e.g. [81]). On the other hand, the two-dimensional metric ($d = 0$) is also a solution of Einstein-Maxwell theory with negative cosmological constant, like AdS_2. It might therefore be interesting to see whether the corresponding asymptotic algebras admit central extensions, in the spirit of [40, 82].

The realization of these symmetries on a phase space will lead to the same kind of difficulties we encountered for the Schrödinger case. Indeed, in order to compute the charges, we will have to integrate on the x^i-plane and therefore we will obtain infinite results. The infinite charges could then be regulated by introducing a 'box'. The Dirac bracket will have to be modified in order to accomodate the action of generators on the regulator. We therefore expect that the infinite dimensional algebra will not be realized if we follow the same strategy as the one presented in this paper for the Schrödinger case.

References

[1] J. M. Maldacena, *The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231–252 [hep-th/9711200].

[2] S. S. Gubser, I. R. Klebanov and A. M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from non-critical string theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B428** (1998) 105–114 [hep-th/9802109].

[3] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253–291 [hep-th/9802150].

[4] S. S. Gubser, *Breaking an Abelian gauge symmetry near a black hole horizon*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 065034 [0801.2977].

[5] S. A. Hartnoll, C. P. Herzog and G. T. Horowitz, *Building a Holographic Superconductor*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 031601 [0803.3295].

[6] E. Keski-Vakkuri and P. Kraus, *Quantum Hall Effect in AdS/CFT*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 130 [0805.4643].

[7] J. L. Davis, P. Kraus and A. Shah, *Gravity Dual of a Quantum Hall Plateau Transition*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 020 [0809.1876].

[8] M. Fujita, W. Li, S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Fractional Quantum Hall Effect via Holography: Chern- Simons, Edge States, and Hierarchy*, 0901.0924.

[9] C. R. Hagen, *Scale and conformal transformations in galilean-covariant field theory*, *Phys. Rev.* **D5** (1972) 377–388.

[10] U. Niederer, *The maximal kinematical invariance group of the free Schrodinger equation*, *Helv. Phys. Acta* **45** (1972) 802–810.

[11] M. Henkel, *Schrodinger invariance in strongly anisotropic critical systems*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **75** (1994) 1023–1061 [hep-th/9310081].

[12] T. Mehen, I. W. Stewart and M. B. Wise, *Conformal invariance for non-relativistic field theory*, *Phys. Lett.* **B474** (2000) 145–152 [hep-th/9910025].

[13] D. T. Son and M. Wingate, *General coordinate invariance and conformal invariance in nonrelativistic physics: Unitary Fermi gas*, *Annals Phys.* **321** (2006) 197–224 [cond-mat/0509786].

[14] Y. Nishida and D. T. Son, *Nonrelativistic conformal field theories*, *Phys. Rev.* **D76** (2007) 086004 [0706.3746].

[15] N. Bobev, A. Kundu and K. Pilch, *Supersymmetric IIB Solutions with Schrödinger Symmetry*, *JHEP* **07** (2009) 107 [0905.0673].

[16] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *Solutions of type IIB and D=11 supergravity with Schrodinger(z) symmetry*, *JHEP* **07** (2009) 042 [0905.1098].

[17] D. T. Son, *Toward an AdS/cold atoms correspondence: a geometric realization of the Schroedinger symmetry*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 046003 [0804.3972].

[18] K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, *Gravity duals for non-relativistic CFTs*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **101** (2008) 061601 [0804.4053].

[19] C. Duval, G. W. Gibbons and P. Horvathy, *Celestial Mechanics, Conformal Structures, and Gravitational Waves*, *Phys. Rev.* **D43** (1991) 3907–3922 [hep-th/0512188].

[20] C. Duval, M. Hassaine and P. A. Horvathy, *The geometry of Schrödinger symmetry in gravity background/non-relativistic CFT*, *Annals Phys.* **324** (2009) 1158–1167 [0809.3128].

[21] W. D. Goldberger, *AdS/CFT duality for non-relativistic field theory*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 069 [0806.2867].

[22] J. L. F. Barbon and C. A. Fuertes, *On the spectrum of nonrelativistic AdS/CFT*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 030 [0806.3244].

[23] C. P. Herzog, M. Rangamani and S. F. Ross, *Heating up Galilean holography*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 080 [0807.1099].

[24] J. Maldacena, D. Martelli and Y. Tachikawa, *Comments on string theory backgrounds with non- relativistic conformal symmetry*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 072 [0807.1100].

[25] A. Adams, K. Balasubramanian and J. McGreevy, *Hot Spacetimes for Cold Atoms*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 059 [0807.1111].

[26] P. Kovtun and D. Nickel, *Black holes and non-relativistic quantum systems*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **102** (2009) 011602 [0809.2020].

[27] S. A. Hartnoll and K. Yoshida, *Families of IIB duals for nonrelativistic CFTs*, *JHEP* **12** (2008) 071 [0810.0298].

[28] M. Schvellinger, *Kerr-AdS black holes and non-relativistic conformal QM theories in diverse dimensions*, *JHEP* **12** (2008) 004 [0810.3011].

[29] L. Mazzucato, Y. Oz and S. Theisen, *Non-relativistic Branes*, 0810.3673.

[30] M. Rangamani, S. F. Ross, D. T. Son and E. G. Thompson, *Conformal non-relativistic hydrodynamics from gravity*, *JHEP* **01** (2009) 075 [0811.2049].

[31] A. Adams, A. Maloney, A. Sinha and S. E. Vazquez, *1/N Effects in Non-Relativistic Gauge-Gravity Duality*, 0812.0166.

[32] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *Supersymmetric solutions for non-relativistic holography*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 138 [0901.0818].

[33] E. O. Colgain and H. Yavartanoo, *NR CFT_3 duals in M-theory*, 0904.0588.

[34] H. Ooguri and C.-S. Park, *Supersymmetric non-relativistic geometries in M-theory*, 0905.1954.

[35] A. Donos and J. P. Gauntlett, *Schrodinger invariant solutions of type IIB with enhanced supersymmetry*, 0907.1761.

[36] D. Brecher, J. P. Gregory and P. M. Saffin, *String theory and the classical stability of plane waves*, *Phys. Rev.* **D67** (2003) 045014 [hep-th/0210308].

[37] V. E. Hubeny and M. Rangamani, *Causal structures of pp-waves*, *JHEP* **12** (2002) 043 [hep-th/0211195].

[38] S. A. Hartnoll, *Lectures on holographic methods for condensed matter physics*, 0903.3246.

[39] D. Anninos, W. Li, M. Padi, W. Song and A. Strominger, *Warped AdS3 Black Holes*, 0807.3040.

[40] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *Central Charges in the Canonical Realization of Asymptotic Symmetries: An Example from Three-Dimensional Gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **104** (1986) 207–226.

[41] A. Strominger, *Black hole entropy from near-horizon microstates*, *JHEP* **02** (1998) 009 [hep-th/9712251].

[42] J. M. Maldacena and A. Strominger, *AdS(3) black holes and a stringy exclusion principle*, *JHEP* **12** (1998) 005 [hep-th/9804085].

[43] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, *Asymptotically anti-De Sitter Spaces*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **98** (1985) 391–424.

[44] M. Alishahiha, R. Fareghbal, A. E. Mosaffa and S. Rouhani, *Asymptotic symmetry of geometries with Schrodinger isometry*, 0902.3916.

[45] J. Unterberger, *On vertex algebra representations of the Schrodinger- Virasoro Lie algebra*, cond-mat/0703214.

[46] V. Balasubramanian and P. Kraus, *A stress tensor for anti-de Sitter gravity*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **208** (1999) 413–428 [hep-th/9902121].

[47] S. de Haro, S. N. Solodukhin and K. Skenderis, *Holographic reconstruction of spacetime and renormalization in the AdS/CFT correspondence*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **217** (2001) 595–622 [hep-th/0002230].

[48] D. Martelli and Y. Tachikawa, *Comments on Galilean conformal field theories and their geometric realization*, 0903.5184.

[49] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, *Role of Surface Integrals in the Hamiltonian Formulation of General Relativity*, *Ann. Phys.* **88** (1974) 286.

[50] J. D. Brown and M. Henneaux, *ON THE POISSON BRACKETS OF DIFFERENTIABLE GENERATORS IN CLASSICAL FIELD THEORY*, *J. Math. Phys.* **27** (1986) 489–491.

[51] G. Barnich and F. Brandt, *Covariant theory of asymptotic symmetries, conservation laws and central charges*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B633** (2002) 3–82 [hep-th/0111246].

[52] G. Barnich, *Boundary charges in gauge theories: Using Stokes theorem in the bulk*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **20** (2003) 3685–3698 [hep-th/0301039].

[53] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Surface charge algebra in gauge theories and thermodynamic integrability*, *J. Math. Phys.* **49** (2008) 042901 [0708.2378].

[54] S. Hollands, A. Ishibashi and D. Marolf, *Comparison between various notions of conserved charges in asymptotically AdS-spacetimes*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **22** (2005) 2881–2920 [hep-th/0503045].

[55] I. Papadimitriou and K. Skenderis, *Thermodynamics of asymptotically locally AdS spacetimes*, *JHEP* **08** (2005) 004 [hep-th/0505190].

[56] S. F. Ross and O. Saremi, *Holographic stress tensor for non-relativistic theories*, 0907.1846.

[57] G. Compere and D. Marolf, *Setting the boundary free in AdS/CFT*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **25** (2008) 195014 [0805.1902].

[58] T. Azeyanagi, G. Compere, N. Ogawa, Y. Tachikawa and S. Terashima, *Higher-Derivative Corrections to the Asymptotic Virasoro Symmetry of 4d Extremal Black Holes*, 0903.4176.

[59] A. J. Amsel, D. Marolf and M. M. Roberts, *On the Stress Tensor of Kerr/CFT*, 0907.5023.

[60] S. Kachru, X. Liu and M. Mulligan, *Gravity Duals of Lifshitz-like Fixed Points*, *Phys. Rev.* **D78** (2008) 106005 [0808.1725].

[61] G. Compere, *Symmetries and conservation laws in Lagrangian gauge theories with applications to the mechanics of black holes and to gravity in three dimensions*, 0708.3153.

[62] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Classical central extension for asymptotic symmetries at null infinity in three spacetime dimensions*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **24** (2007) F15 [gr-qc/0610130].

[63] V. E. Hubeny, M. Rangamani and S. F. Ross, *Causal structures and holography*, *JHEP* **07** (2005) 037 [hep-th/0504034].

[64] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Conserved charges and thermodynamics of the spinning Goedel black hole*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **95** (2005) 031302 [hep-th/0501102].

[65] E. G. Gimon, A. Hashimoto, V. E. Hubeny, O. Lunin and M. Rangamani, *Black strings in asymptotically plane wave geometries*, *JHEP* **08** (2003) 035 [hep-th/0306131].

[66] M. Blau, J. Hartong and B. Rollier, *Geometry of Schroedinger Space-Times, Global Coordinates, and Harmonic Trapping*, 0904.3304.

[67] D. Yamada, *Thermodynamics of Black Holes in Schroedinger Space*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **26** (2009) 075006 [0809.4928].

[68] M. Henkel, *Local Scale Invariance and Strongly Anisotropic Equilibrium Critical Systems*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **78** (1997) 1940–1943.

[69] J. Lukierski, P. C. Stichel and W. J. Zakrzewski, *Exotic Galilean conformal symmetry and its dynamical realisations*, *Phys. Lett.* **A357** (2006) 1–5 [hep-th/0511259].

[70] C. Duval and P. A. Horvathy, *Non-relativistic conformal symmetries and Newton-Cartan structures*, 0904.0531.

[71] A. Bagchi and R. Gopakumar, *Galilean Conformal Algebras and AdS/CFT*, *JHEP* **07** (2009) 037 [0902.1385].

[72] M. Henneaux, *ASYMPTOTICALLY ANTI-DE SITTER UNIVERSES IN D = 3, 4 AND HIGHER DIMENSIONS*, . In *Rome 1985, Proceedings, General Relativity, Pt. B*, 959- 966.

[73] G. Barnich, F. Brandt and M. Henneaux, *Local BRST cohomology in the antifield formalism. 1. General theorems*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **174** (1995) 57–92 [hep-th/9405109].

[74] G. Barnich and G. Compere, *Generalized Smarr relation for Kerr AdS black holes from improved surface integrals*, *Phys. Rev.* **D71** (2005) 044016 [gr-qc/0412029].

[75] L. F. Abbott and S. Deser, *Stability of gravity with a cosmological constant*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B195** (1982) 76.

[76] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, *Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy*, *Phys. Rev.* **D50** (1994) 846–864 [gr-qc/9403028].

[77] G. Compere, *Note on the First Law with p-form potentials*, *Phys. Rev.* **D75** (2007) 124020 [hep-th/0703004].

[78] U. H. Danielsson and L. Thorlacius, *Black holes in asymptotically Lifshitz spacetime*, *JHEP* **03** (2009) 070 [0812.5088].

[79] G. Bertoldi, B. A. Burrington and A. Peet, *Black Holes in asymptotically Lifshitz spacetimes with arbitrary critical exponent*, 0905.3183.

[80] G. Bertoldi, B. A. Burrington and A. W. Peet, *Thermodynamics of black branes in asymptotically Lifshitz spacetimes*, 0907.4755.

[81] E. V. Ivashkevich, *Symmetries and instantons in stochastic Burgers equation*, *J. Phys.* **A30** (1997) L525–L533 [hep-th/9610221].

[82] T. Hartman and A. Strominger, *Central Charge for AdS_2 Quantum Gravity*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 026 [0803.3621].